

## PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 19 January 1965	2. LOCATION Norwalk, California
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR EVALUATION
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	Time, duration and other essentials missing. Description similar to a Satellite observation.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not Reported	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	Bright light coming from the SW changing course to NNE. Disappeared at 45 deg elevation. Witness indicated path in relation to stars.
7. COURSE NE	Time of observation, duration of sighting and apparent speed of objects omitted. Flight path does not show marked change in direction. Description similar to satellite reports with the disappearance attributed to the passage of the satellite into the earth's shadow.
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Jan 65

Mrs Hunt/man/SAFOICC/72842/9 Sep 65

SEP 10 1965

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in reply to your letter in which you related an observation of an aerial object which you could not identify.

Your letter did not contain enough information for us to establish an identity of the object you observed. However, no association can be established between an object observed and an explosion occurring at a later time.

I am enclosing the current report on Project Blue Book for your information. I hope this will be of interest to you.

Sincerely,

JOHN P. SPAULDING  
Lt Colonel, USAF  
Chief, Civil Branch  
Community Relations Division  
Office of Information

Atch  
Blue Book Report

Mr. [REDACTED]  
Norwalk, California

COPIED BY (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date)			
SAF-OTC	SAF-OTC		
SA			

Copied by - SAFOT-2  
Concept by - SAFOT-2  
Research by - SAFOT-1  
Activity by - SAFOT-1  
Storage



Jan 15

Mrs Hunt/man/SAFOICC/72842/9 Sep 65

SEP 10 1965

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in reply to your letter in which you related an observation of an aerial object which you could not identify.

Your letter did not contain enough information for us to establish an identity of the object you observed. However, no association can be established between an object observed and an explosion occurring at a later time.

I am enclosing the current report on Project Blue Book for your information. I hope this will be of interest to you.

Sincerely,

JOHN P. SPAULDING  
Lt Colonel, USAF  
Chief, Civil Branch  
Community Relations Division  
Office of Information

Atch  
Blue Book Report

Mr. [REDACTED]  
Newark, California

COORDINATED BY (Office Symbol, Name, Grade, Date)	
SAF-01C	SAF-01C
SA	

Chief of - SAFOT-2  
Compt of - SAFOT-2  
Reader of - SAFOT-2  
Activity of - SAFOT-2  
Staff

HEADQUARTERS  
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information, [REDACTED]

SEP 3 1965

TO: Hq USAF SAFOICC (Mrs Hunt)  
Wash D C 20330

1. Reference the attached letter from [REDACTED] requesting information on unidentified flying objects. Records indicate previous correspondence from him in 1964, however, he has not received the 1965 release.
2. The object observed in January 1965 is too old to warrant investigation. However, the apparent path as indicated in his drawing does not show a marked change in direction. Duration and other essential information is missing for evaluation. No association can be established between an object observed and an explosion occurring at a later time.

FOR THE COMMANDER

ERIC T de JONGHE  
Colonel, USAF  
Deputy for Technology  
and Subsystems

1 Atch  
Lt [REDACTED]  
dtd 17 Aug 65





